

			JEI Z	7 2004	PCT
	see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of maili	ng
	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FUR	THER ACTION ph 2 below
	national application l T/IB2004/050901	No.	International filing date (14.06.2004	day/month/year)	16.06.2003
			ooth national classification A61K9/16, A61P3/08		VAII
	licant NBAXY LABORA	ATORIES LIMI	TED		AVAILABL
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:	m
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion	•	
	Box No. II	Priority	mon		₹·
	Box No. III	-	nent of opinion with rea	ard to novelty	inventive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	_	in to morolly,	modeline cop and modelina applicability
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state		s.1(a)(i) with re s supporting si	egard to novelty, inventive step or industrial uch statement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	nal application	
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON			
	written opinion of the applicant cho	f the Internationa ooses an Authori eau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to	g Authority ("IF be the IPEA a	nion will usually be considered to be a PEA"). However, this does not apply where and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Searching Authority
	submit to the IPE	A a written reply date of mailing of	together, where appro	priate, with an	of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to nendments, before the expiration of three piration of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to F	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Nam	e and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Authorized O	fficer

Paul Soto, R

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7346

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465



International application No. PCT/IB2004/050901

_	Box No. I Basis	of the opinion
1.	. With regard to the I	anguage, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ch it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	language , w	s been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following thich is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search 2.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	. With regard to any necessary to the cla	nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and timed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material:		
	☐ a sequence	listing
	□ table(s) rela	ted to the sequence listing
	b. format of materia	l:
	☐ in written for	rmat
	☐ in computer	readable form
	c. time of filing/furnis	shing:
	☐ contained in	the international application as filed.
	□ filed togethe	r with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnished su	bsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	has been filed o	ne case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional cal to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as re furnished.

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050901

_			
	Box	No. II	Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
		⋈	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	itional o	bservations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050901

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 60, 61 (industrial applicability)				
be	cause:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 60, 61 (industrial applicability)				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	itide a equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, dements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls		

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050901

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

20-40

No: Claims

1-19, 41-61

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-61

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-59; for 60 and 61 see separate sheet

No: Claims

Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. Claims 60 and 61 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US 2003/104059 A1 (CHAWLA MANISH ET AL) 5 June 2003
 - D2: WO 02/28181 A (TEWARI PRASHANT KUMAR; USV LTD (IN); GIDWANI SURESH KUMAR (IN); SINGN) 11 April 2002
 - D3: US-A-6 117 451 (KUMAR VIJAI) 12 September 2000
 - D4: US-A-5 955 106 (GABEL ROLF-DIETER ET AL) 21 September 1999
 - **D5**: WO 03/026637 A (REDDY HARIVARDHAN L ; TYEBJI ZIAUDDIN Z (IN); SUN PHARMACEUTICAL IND L) 3 April 2003
 - D6: US 2003/104049 A1 (SHERMAN BERNARD CHARLES) 5 June 2003
 - D7: WO 03/028704 A (ARORA VINOD KUMAR; MALIK RAJIV (IN); MADAN ASHISH (IN); MURPANI DEEPA) 10 April 2003

If not indicated otherwise, the relevant passages are those mentioned in the International Search Report.

3. The present application according to **claim 1** relates to an extended-release metformin tablet, comprising: a) from about 500 mg to about 1000 mg metformin, b) 5-25% w/w rate-controlling polymer(s), and c) other pharmaceutically acceptable excipients; in **claim 41** the tablet is monolithic. **Claim 20** is directed to a process for preparing extended-release metformin tablets, comprising: a) blending metformin, 5-25% w/w rate-controlling polymers and other pharmaceutically acceptable excipients, b) compacting/slugging, c) milling or crushing the compacted/slugged material of step b) into granules, and d) lubricating and compressing the granules to form tablets.

Finally, **claim 60** relates to a method for the treatment of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus comprising administering said extended-release metformin tablets.

- 4.1. The present application does not meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty (Art. 33(2)) for the following reasons. **D1**, **D2**, **D3**, **D4**, **D5**, and **D6** all disclose controlled-release tablets containing metformin which fall within the terms of present claims 1 and 41, whereby these documents are novelty destroying for at least independent claims 1, 41 and 60.
- 4.2. However, the process according to present claim 20 is novel over the prior art.
- 5. No inventive step can be recognised for those claims whose subject-matter is not novel. Furthermore, the process according to present **claim 20** does not involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

D7 is regarded as the most relevant prior art document for claim 20. It discloses a method to produce controlled-release tablets containing metformin which includes a step of moisture conditioning followed by the blending of the mixture. The blended mixture is then compacted or slugged, milled or crushed into granules, and finally lubricated and compressed. The method according to present claim 20 differs in that the content of the rate-controlling polymer is specifically 5-25 %, this is lower than those used in the specific examples of **D7**.

Thus, the problem to be solved by the present application is regarded in the provision of alternative processes controlled-release tablets containing metformin. The solution provided by the present application is regarded as an obvious alternative to that disclosed in **D7**. Decreasing the relative content of the rate-controlling polymer with respect to those used in the specific examples of **D7** during the blending step represents a minimal change which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages achieved can readily be foreseen. Furthermore, **D7** discloses a range for the rate controlling polymer of 10-60 % (see page 5, lines 9-10). Consequently, no inventive step is recognised.

6.1. Claims 1-59 meet the criterion set forth in Article 33(4) PCT because their subject-matter is susceptible of industrial application.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/050901

6.2. For the assessment of the present claims 60 and 61 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
Потивр.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.